

1 John V. Picone III, Esq. (State Bar No. 187226)
jpicone@hopkinscarley.com
2 Jennifer S. Coleman, Esq. (State Bar No. 213210)
jcoleman@hopkinscarley.com
3 C. Gideon Korrell (State Bar No. 284890)
gkorrell@hopkinscarley.com
4 HOPKINS & CARLEY
A Law Corporation
5 The Letitia Building
70 South First Street
6 San Jose, California 95113-2406

7 ***mailing address:***

P.O. Box 1469
8 San Jose, CA 95109-1469
Telephone: (408) 286-9800
9 Facsimile: (408) 998-4790

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 OAKLAND DIVISION

14 PACE ANTI-PIRACY, INC. a California
corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 INSIDE SECURE, a French legal entity, and
18 INSIDE SECURE CORP., a Delaware
corporation,

19 Defendant.

CASE NO. 4:17-cv-05860-HSG

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
COMPLAINT**

STIPULATION

This Stipulation is made pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 by and between Plaintiff PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc. (“PACE”) and Defendants Inside Secure and Inside Secure Corp. (collectively, “Defendants”) through their respective attorneys. PACE and Defendants (collectively, the “Parties”) stipulate as follows:

1. Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) on December 26, 2017 (“Motion to Dismiss”). The Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for hearing on March 22, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. (Dkt. No. 37).

2. Based on the filing date, the opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is due to be filed by January 9, 2018, and the reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss is due to be filed on January 16, 2018.

3. Given the intervening Holiday, the Parties request a modification to the briefing schedule for the Motion to Dismiss by one week. The requested modification to the briefing schedule would not have an effect on the remaining schedule for the case.

4. There have been three previous requests for time modifications: i) two extensions of time for Defendants to respond to PACE’s Complaint (Dkt. Nos. 20 and 31); and ii) a continuance of the Initial Case Management Conference (Dkt. No. 33).

5. The Parties have agreed to modify the Motion to Dismiss briefing schedule as follows:

Pleading	Current Deadline	Stipulated Revised Deadline
Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	January 9, 2018	January 16, 2018
Reply in support of Motion to Dismiss	January 16, 2018	January 23, 2018

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

-2-

1 Dated: January 3, 2018

HOPKINS & CARLEY, A Law Corporation

2
3 By: /s/ John Picone

John V. Picone III

4 Attorney for Plaintiff PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc.

5 Dated: January 3, 2018

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM
6 LLP

7 By: /s/ James Pak

James Y. Pak

8 Attorney for Defendants Inside Secure and
9 Inside Secure Corp.

10 **ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE**

11 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that I have obtained the concurrence in the
12 filing of this document from all signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a “conformed”
13 signature (/ s /) within this electronically filed document and I have on file records to support this
14 concurrence for subsequent production to the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request.
15

16 Dated: January 3, 2018

17 By: /s/ John Picone

John V. Picone III


18 Attorney for Plaintiff
19 PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: JANUARY 3, 2018

By: 
HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE